

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/682,860	10/25/2001	David E. Barker	33-XZ-6082	9981
23446 75	590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER	
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD			CONLEY, FREDRICK C	
500 WEST MA SUITE 3400	DISON STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60661		3673	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
Office Astion Comments	09/682,860	BARKER ET AL.	20
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fredrick C Conley	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	PSS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a repl by within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this comm IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ounication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	August 2004.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-21</u> is/are pending in the ap	pplication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,4,7,9-11,14,16,19 and 21</u> is/are rej	ected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>2,3,5,6,12,13,15,17,18 and 20</u> is/are	objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		•
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			ane
application from the International Burea	•	COCIVED III (IIIS INGLIONAI OLE	igo
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sui		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,	-

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 7, 9-11, 14-16, 19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,542,138 to Williams et al.

Claim 1, Williams discloses a patient table comprising:

a patient support surface 10 for supporting a patient during a medical procedure;

a base 12 supporting said patient support surface',

a monitor 70 displaying medical information relating to a medical procedure;

a pivot arm 20 having a first end connected to said base and a second end connected to said monitor; and

a pivot release member provided on one of said monitor and said pivot arm for releasably securing said monitor and said pivot arm at predetermined angular positions with respect to said base (col. 6 lines 8-10);

wherein said patient table comprises a patient support surface which will support a patient in at least two examination positions for a medical procedure, said pivot arm orienting said monitor in a first predetermined angular position parallel to the longitudinal axis of the table and another position at a second predetermined angular position perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the table.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,860

Art Unit: 3673

Claim 4, wherein said pivot arm further comprises a fixed arm connected to said first end of said pivot arm and to said base (fig. 1).

Claim 7, With regards to the patient table being a urological table, the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Claim 9, wherein said patient table comprises a patient support surface which will support a patient in at least two examination positions, said pivot arm orienting said monitor at a predetermined angular position facing a side of the patient support surface and a second predetermined angular position facing an end of the patient support surface (col. 1 lines 53-54).

Claim 10, wherein said pivot arm is a moving mechanism.

Claim 11, Williams discloses a table comprising:

a patient support surface 10 with opposed ends along a longitudinal axis with opposed sides transverse to said longitudinal axis;

a monitor 70 displaying medical information relating to a medical procedure,

a movable support member 20 having a first end mounted to said patient support surface and a second end mounted to said monitor, at least one of said ends being movable relative to a corresponding one of said monitor and patient support surface to move said monitor between a first and second viewing positions, said monitor facing one of said sides when in said first viewing position, said monitor facing one of said ends when in said second viewing position wherein said monitor is over said patient in

said second viewing position. The term urology does not structurally distinguish the invention from the prior art. Since the prior art is capable of being used as a urology table it anticipates the claim.

Claim 14, wherein said movable support member comprises a fixed arm and a pivot arm (fig. 1).

Claim 15, wherein said urology table further comprises a release control remotely located that releases said movable support member.

Claim 16, Williams discloses a table comprising:

a patient support surface 10 supporting a patient in at least two positions, a first position where the patient is lying horizontally on the patient support surface and the second position where the patient is sitting up vertically (col. 2 lines 44-50);

a monitor 70 displaying medical information relating to a medical procedure,

a movable support member 20 having a first end mounted to said patient support surface and a second end mounted to said monitor, at least one of said ends being movable relative to a corresponding one of said monitor and patient support surface to move said monitor between a first and second viewing positions, in a first viewing position said monitor facing one direction when the patient is vertical, and in said second viewing position said monitor facing perpendicular to said first viewing position when the patient is horizontal,

wherein said monitor is over said patient in said second viewing position (col. 1 lines 52-59). The term urology does not structurally distinguish the invention from the

Art Unit: 3673

prior art. Since the prior art is capable of being used as a urology table it anticipates the claim.

Claim 19, wherein said movable support member comprises a fixed arm and a pivot arm (fig. 1).

Claim 21, wherein said monitor is over said patient in said second predetermined position.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-3, 5-6, 12-13, 15, 17-18, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/26/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In reference to the Applicant's recitation "for supporting a patient during a medical procedure" it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

With regards to the patient table being a urological table, the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Art Unit: 3673

Furthermore, the table of Williams clearly can support a patient lying on their back and sitting up.

Contrary to the Applicant's argument, Williams does disclose the monitor capable of displaying medical information relating to a medical procedure. Williams discloses the monitor displaying a patient's chart. A patient's chart inherently contains medical information relating to the patient and any medical procedures that are performed while under the care of hospital staff thus the monitor of Williams is clearly capable of displaying medical information relating to a medical procedure. The monitor of Williams also allows hospital staff to access medical information stored within the memory of a microprocessor from a remote access (col. 3 lines 49-54)(col. 4 lines 14-34) therefore the patient's medical information is capable of being accessed from a remote location during diagnostic testing or surgery.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3673

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fredrick C Conley whose telephone number is 308-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on m-th m-fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL F. TRETTEL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 358